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## Purpose of Research

To learn the instrumental error of ultra-wideband (UWB) sensors, reduce error through data filtering, and ultimately develop an accurate positioning system.


Both moving average and Savitzky-Golay filters show a great performance to lower the measurement error for a stationary tag localization. However, both filters are not adaptive to motions leading to time delays, which is unfavorable in real-time positioning for moving objects. A dynamic filtering approach, such as the extended Kalman filter, is planned to be considered to resolve the issues
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## Model with Moving Average and Savitzky-Golay Filter



## Experiment Settings and Trilateration

| Anchors' Position | $\left[x_{i}, y_{i}\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anchor I | $[0]$ |
| Anchor II | $[2,76,0]$ |
| Anchor III | $[1.36,2.72]$ |

The distance measurements from the tag to each anchor:

$$
\left|\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right|=d_{i}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{r}=(x, y, z)$ is the tag position, $\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{i}}=\left(x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}\right)$ is the $i$-th anchor position and $d_{i}$ is the distance between the tag and $i$-th anchor.
It can be rewritten as a second order equation:

$$
\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{2}+\left(y-y_{i}\right)^{2}+\left(z-z_{i}\right)^{2}=d_{i}^{2}
$$

Manipulating the equation yields the following linear equation in $x$ and $y:{ }^{[2]}$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
x_{1}-x_{0} & y_{1}-y_{0} & z_{1}-z_{0} \\
x_{2}-x_{1} & y_{2}-y_{1} & z_{2}-z_{1} \\
x_{3}-x_{2} & y_{3}-y_{2} & z_{3}-z_{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(d_{1}^{2}-d_{0}^{2}\right)-\left(R_{1}^{2}-R_{0}^{2}\right) \\
\left(d_{2}^{2}-d_{1}^{2}\right)-\left(R_{2}^{2}-R_{1}^{2}\right) \\
\left(d_{3}^{2}-d_{2}^{2}\right)-\left(R_{3}^{2}-R_{2}^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

in which $R_{i}^{2}=x_{i}^{2}+y_{i}^{2}+z_{i}^{2}$. The linear equations can be solved as $r=\left(A^{T} A\right)^{-1} A^{T} \boldsymbol{b}$

## Experimental Results of MAF and SGF Implementation



Dispersion Comparison

| Standard Deviation | $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ | $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\boldsymbol{y}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Measurements | 0.01522 | 0.01180 |
| MAF | 0.00589 | 0.00421 |
| SGF | 0.00770 | 0.00574 |

- SGF increases the accuracy of the system by nearly 2 times
- MAF is around 1.5 times better than SGF, and it can increase the
accuracy by nearly 3 times.
- Both MAF and SGF can cause delays in estimations.

Position of the Tag



Position Error of the Tag


